Nov 28 '05 13:26 P01

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

NOV 2 8 2005

TAX COVER SHEET

To:	USPT + Huit 36 xr. T. M. Au	37	Date:	1 28105
Frem	Werner H.	Schroedev n F		
Messbe	Reg. NG 3	cover shout: //	<u> </u>	
	sponse to a			
	infishment solm	sdel		

PTO/98/21 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006, OWG 0681-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE co. remained to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a yeald OMB control number.

Application Number Under the Presework Reduction Act of 1995, no nemon 10/079 489 RECEIVED Filing Date TRANSMITTAL 10/07/2003 CENTRAL FAXICENTER First Named Inventor FORM Keylo T. Connelly Art Unit 3837 NOV 28 2005 Examiner theme Timethy Michael Ayrox (to be used for all consspondence after inklai filing) Attorney Docket Number Total Number of Pages in This Submission ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC Orawing(s) Fee Transmittal Form Appeal Communication to Board **Uconsing-related Papers** Fee Attached of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Netice, Brief, Reply Brief) Petition Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information After Final Provisional Application ver of Altorney, Revocation Status Letter Affidevita/declaration(s) Change of Correspondence Address Other Englosure(s) (please Identify **Termina: Disclaimer Extension of Time Request** below): Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD, Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Landscape Table on CD Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Amendment after FINAL Attachment: Amended disims 1 - 50 Roply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Pents under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name 8 lonsture Printed name Warner H. Schroeder Date Rog. No. 36.387 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being ticsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mult in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Peterns, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandrio, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below: Signatura -Data Typed or printed name Werner H. Schmeder

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to fite (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentially is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including getwing, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will very depending upon the including the complete this form suggestions form to the reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Influentian Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Sox 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Comprise for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Nyou need essistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Fax:

Response to a second and Final Office Action

Application SN. 10/679,469

This communication is a response to a Final Office Action having a mailing date of 11/17/2005 and setting forth a shortened statutory period for response of three months which would expire on 02/17/2006.

In response to the Office Action the applicant will follow the examiner's paragraphs as they appear in the action:

Under the heading DETAILED ACTION the examiner states that "this is the final office action on the merits".

The applicant lodges a protest that this action was made FINAL:

- 1). The examiner has cited a new reference in rejecting claims 6 10, 12, 13 15, 16, 22, 23, 25, 27, 32, 33, 36, 45, and 46. The citation of the new reference was not amendment provoked and therefore, the action should not been made final. The examiner has raised a new issue.
- 2). The examiner has rejected claims 1, 14, 17 20, 28 31, 34, 35, 37, 39, 41, 42 and 47 50 under the judicially created doctrine of non-statutory double patenting. This issue is being raised for the first time and, therefore, the action should not have been final.
 - 1. the claim objections have been considered and have been corrected.
 - 2 -10. The claims rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 have been corrected.
- 11 13. Claims 5 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jensen in view of Briggs. The examiner admits that Jensen does not expressly disclose the children's play area as a platform that is suspended from vertical columns and having play devices on it. The examiner then cites "Webster's II Riverside Dictionary to Interpret applicant's claiming of the play devices being on the platform.